Monatsh Chem (1987) 118: 25-29 **Monatshefte für Chemie** *Chemical Monthly* © by Springer-Verlag 1987

Rapid Determination of Fluorine in Solid Samples*

Manfred Sager

Geotechnical Institute, Federal Research Centre Arsenal, A-1030 Wien, Austria

(Received 5 March 1986. Accepted 15 July 1986)

A rapid method for the determination of fluorine in various matrices of geochemical and environmental interest is described. After fusion with NaOH, the sample is dissolved by means of tiron $(= pyrocatechol-3, 5-disulfonic acid,$ disodium salt), which acts both as buffer substance and as superior masking agent for cations exhibiting strong complexes with fluoride, e.g. A1, Fe, Ti etc. The final determination is done by means of the fluoride sensitive electrode without further separation.

The applicability of the method has been checked for various silicates, bauxite, phosphates, soils, coal and plant material. A detection limit of $10 \mu g/g$ can be achieved, which is sufficient in many cases. The overall precision is better than $+10%$.

(Keywords. Ion selective electrode," Minerals; Plant material)

Eine schnelle Bestimmungsmethode für Fluor in festen Proben

Es wird eine schnelte Methode zur Bestimmung yon Fluor in verschiedenen geochemischen und umweltrelevanten Matrices beschrieben. Nach Schmelzen mit NaOH wird die Probe mittels Tiron (= Brenzkatechin-3,5-disulfonsäure, Dinatriumsalz) gelöst, welches sowohl als Puffersubstanz als auch als überlegener Komplexbildner fiir Kationen wirkt, die starke Komplexe mit Fluorid bilden, wie z. B. A1, Fe, Ti etc. Die Endbestimmung erfolgt ohne weitere Trennung mit der fluoridsensitiven Elektrode.

Die Anwendbarkeit der Methode wurde bei verschiedenen Silikaten, Bauxit, Phosphaten, Böden, Kohle und Pflanzenmaterial überprüft. Eine Nachweisgrenze von 10 μ g/g kann leicht erreicht werden, was in den meisten Fällen ausreicht. Die insgesamt erzielte Genauigkeit ist besser als $\pm 10\%$.

Introduction

Increasing demands for rapid and correct methods for the determination of fluorine in both environmental and industrial samples increase the need of fast and reliable methods [1]. Concerning the analysis of solids, there is the question, how to get an appropriate solution of the

^{*} Dedicated to Prof. Dr. *K. L. Komarek* on occasion of his 60th birthday.

26 M. Sager:

analyte without any losses, and secondly, how to liberate the fluoride from its complexes, either by masking or by separation. Separation and enrichment of fluoride can be achieved by steam destillation from a sample treated with $HClO₄$, with subsequent absorption of the steam in Al(NO_3)₃-solution [2, 3]. Thus, the fluorine from samples up to 1 g can be collected in a final volume of 20 ml.

There are, however, some severe disadvantages of destillation methods. The output is low, only 3-4 determinations can be run a day. Samples insoluble in perchloric acid cannot be submitted to the destillation directly, but have to be treated with alkaline fluxes at first. Organic matrices have to be ashed prior to destillation. Last, but not least, the selectivity of the fluoride sensitive electrode, which is the most usual analytical tool for the determination of fluorine today, is much higher than the selectivity of the older spectrophotometric methods. So the need for exact separation methods dwindles away.

Because attack with acids leads to volatilization of HF, only alkaline fluxes can be used for decomposition. Fusion with NaOH is superior to $NAKCO₃$, because it is done in a shorter time and at lower temperatures. At 450 \degree it readily dissolves silicates, bauxite, TiO₂ and phosphates [4-10, 14]. In the presence of air the melt is oxidizing and destroys organic substances [13].

Use of Tiron as Complexing Agent for Dissolution

To avoid filtration and possible adsorption of traces upon precipitates [10, 11], it is preferable to use complexing agents for the dissolution of the flux as a whole. Strong acids would lead to vigorous evolvation of $CO₂$ and also possible loss of HF or precipitation of silicic acid. Dissolution in citric acid also often requires filtration [5, 8, 10]. Ammoniumsulfosalicylate easily dissolves samples rich in A1 and Fe, but the high *pH* of the reagent solution lowers the detection limit appreciably [9, 12]. Some authors add *EDTA* or *CDTA* to complex Mg and Ca, if present at higher levels $[6, 9, 12]$.

In this work the reagent "tiron" (pyrocatechol-3,5-disulphonic acid, disodium salt) is introduced as a universal complexing and buffering agent. It reacts with Al, Fe, Ti, Be, Ca, and also with Si and B $\lceil 15 \rceil$, so that any alkaline flux is dissolved easily by an excess of the reagent. After complete dissolution of the cooled flux in a solution of tiron, an aliquot of the sample is brought to pH 6.3–7.0 by addition of HCl, and the Fsensitive electrode is inserted. If there is too much acidification, the red iron-complex turnes to blueish-green, so that a visual control is possible. In addition, tiron buffers both *pH* and ionic strength of the solution, thus no further reagent needs to be added [15].

Reagents and Apparatus." Orion fluoride sensitive electrode 96-09-00. Orion Ionalyzer (mV-meter with logarithmic scale), or mV-meter with high internal resistance. Tiron = pyrocatechol-3,5-disulphonic acid, disodium salt, p.a., Loba-Chemie Nr. 14420 (Austria). Merck Neutralit, pH -sensitive paper (pH 5.5–9.0). HC1, NaOH p.a.; Pt-crucibles, muffle furnace, magnetic stirrer.

Procedure. 100 mg sample are weighed in a Ni- or Pt-crucible, 5 pellets of NaOH (about 1 g) are added and dissolved in some ml of distilled water. After mixing, the crucible is put into a muffel furnace and gradually heated to evaporate the water. (If this is done too fast, the NaOH solution climbs up the wall of the crucible.) Finally heating at $400^{\circ}/20$ min is sufficient in many cases, at higher temperatures the Pt is attacked to some extent. After cooling, the contents of the crucible is dissolved in some water with the aid 2 g tiron, transferred into a plastic volumetric flask (in which the tiron has been weighed) and brought to 50 ml with water.

For concentration measurement, an aliquot of 10ml sample solution is pipetted into a plastic beaker, neutralized with 300μ l HCl conc. and brought to $pH6-7$ by addition of a few microlitres of HCl or NH₃ (diluted 1:1). While the sample is stirred with constant speed, the potential of the F-sensitive electrode is taken after it has reached a constant value. Calibration is done by subsequent addition of 10 or 20 μ l of 100 μ g/ml F-standard to a tiron blank solution, identical in tiron, NaCl concentration and pH . The calibration is repeated after every five samples. Between the measurements, the electrode is kept in pure water, till the potential of the reagent blind is observed.

Results and Discussion

The final determination of fluorine by means of the ion-selective electrode has found general acceptance, because it is fast and covers 5 orders of magnitude. Prior to determination, the sample has to yield free fluoride ions in the final solution. After fluxing with NaOH solution, an only double molar excess of tiron is sufficient to liberate the fluorine from its complexing cations, within the range *pH6-7.* Sometimes the Ptcrucibles get brownish spots, which can be cleaned by melts of $K_2S_2O_5$ or LiBO₂. In case of coal, plant and wood samples, final heating to 550 °C for about an hour is needed to achieve complete oxidation. For coals, it is advantageous to moisten the sample with methanol/water [14].

If standards and samples are prepared in presence of the same amounts of reagents, there can be direct reading from a calibration curve, and no standard addition is needed.

Table 1 shows the maximum amounts of tolerable cations in the final solution and thus reveals the huge masking abilities of tiron.

Table 2 shows a list of samples which have been analyzed by the proposed method.

The applicability of the method has been checked for various silicates, bauxite, phosphates, soils, coal and plant material. A detection limit of 28 **M. Sager:**

	Acetate/CDTA pH 5.5 $\lceil \% \rceil$	1 MK-Citrate pH 5.2 -61 [%]	$0.2 M$ -Sulfosal. $pH\,8.5$ Г91 [%]	$0.1 M$ -Tiron pH 6.5 [15] [%]
Ca	> 60	100	35	100
Al	0.1	1.5	3.3	25
Ti	1.25	4.5	0.5	25
Fe	1.9	70	2.0	25
La		5.0	5.0	> 5

Table 1. *Tolerable amounts of accompanying ions (calculated as percentage in the solid sample, when lOOmg are brought to a final volume of 5Oml)*

Table 2. *Analyzed samples*

		Found $\lceil \% \rceil$	Certified $\lceil \% \rceil$
CCRMP	syenite rock SY-2	0.53	0.51
CCRMP	syenite rock SY-3	0.70	0.66
USGS	$GSP-1$	0.38	0.37
ZGI	GnA	3.14	3.36
stream sediment GSD-2		0.21	0.20
	$GSD-3$	0.034	0.03
	$GSD-4$	0.085	0.07
	GSD-8	0.022	0.02
NBS	bauxite 69 b	0.26	
NBS	phosphate rock 120 b	3.80	3.84
CCRMP	soil SO-3	0.050	
NBS	coal 1632 a	0.14	
NBS	coal 1635	0.037	

Round robin of the Austrian Research Centre Seibersdorf 1986:

* Scatter of values from all participants.

 $10 \mu g/g$ can be achieved, which is sufficient in many cases. The overall precision is better than $\pm 10\%$. For measurements below 20 μ g/g in the solid sample, the calibration $\log|F|/mV$ is not linear any more, and a close fitting of calibration and standards is necessary. In this case, calibration has to be done with a more diluted standard solution.

Determination of Fluorine 29

References

- [1] *Adler P* (1972) Fluor santé—fluor et santé. WHO, Geneve
- E21 *Ballczo H, Doppler G, Lanik A* (1957) Mikrochim Acta 1957:809--822
- [31 *Sager M* (1979) Z Anal Chem 298:393--396
- E41 *Sen Gupta JG* (1968) Anal Chim Acta 42:119--125
- [5] *Ingram BL* (1970) Anal Chem 42: 1825
- E61 *Troll G, Farzaneh A, Cammann K* (1977) Chem Geol 20:295--305
- E71 *Hopkins DM* (1977) J Res US Geol Surv 5:589--593
- [8] *Haynes JS* (1978) Talanta 25:85--89
- [9] *Palmer ThA* (1972) Talanta 19: 1141-1145
- [101 *McQuaker NR, Gurney M* (1977) Anal Chem 49:53
- [11] *Huang PM, Jackson MJ* (1967) Am Mineral 52:1503--1507
- [12] *Boniface HJ, Jenkins RH* (1977) Analyst 102: 739-744
- [13] *Tschöpel P* (1980) Aufschlußmethoden. In: Ullmanns Encyklopädie der techn Chemic, 4. Aufl, Bd 5. Verlag Chemic
- El4] *Boyle DR* (1981) J Geochern Explor 14:175
- [15] *Ballczo H, Sager M* (1979) Z Anal Chem 298: 382-388